

Biological Forum – An International Journal

15(1): 07-13(2023)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

Performance of Strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa* Duch.) as influenced by Humic Acid and Water Soluble Fertilizers on Vegetative Parameters under Naturally Ventilated Polyhouse

Sampada Mareguddikar^{1*}, Manjunath Rathod², Priyanka R.³ and Madaiah D.⁴ ¹Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, Mudigere (Karnataka), India. ²Amity Institute of Horticulture Studies and Research, Amity University, Noida (Uttar Pradesh), India. ³Department of Horticulture, SHUATS, Allahabad, (Uttar Pradesh) India. ⁴Department of Post Harvest Technology, College of Horticulture, Mudigere (Karnataka), India.

(Corresponding author: Sampada Mareguddikar*)

(Received: 10 November 2022; Revised: 16 December2022; Accepted: 26 December, 2022; Published: 05 January, 2023) (Published by Research Trend)

ABSTRACT: Application of nutrients through soil is not an effective method. So, the alternative approach to overcome the problem of ineffective fertilizer nutrient supply. These unpredicted challenges urge the adoption of novel and resource efficient stratagies to stepping out of the growth and yield parameters. The present study was undertaken to evaluate performance of strawberry as influenced by humic acid and water soluble fertilizers on growth under naturally ventilated polyhouse. The study was made during 2017-18 with completely randomised design by taking fourteen treatments replicated thrice. The results promulgated that application of 100 % RDF through soil along with foliar application of humic acid (2%) + 19:19:19 (1%) + potassium nitrate (1%) recorded significantly highest plant height per plant (33.03 cm), trifoliate leaves per plant (32.32), crowns per plant (5.75), Runners per plant (2.02), plant spread of 46.61 and 44.50 cm North-south and east-west respectively. Leaf area (176.86 cm²), leaf area index (1.89) plant dry weight at harvest (30.29g/plant), total Chlorophyll content of leaves (2.44 mg/g of fresh weight), yield per plot (4.55 kg) followed by application of 75% RDF through soil along with foliar application of humic acid (2%) + 19:19:19 (1%) + potassium nitrate (1%).

Keywords: Strawberry, humic acid, water soluble fertilizers, growth.

INTRODUCTION

Strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa Duch.) belongs to the family Rosaceae with basic chromosome number of X=7. The cultivated strawberry is an octoploid, obtained by the hybridization of two North American species Fragaria chiloensis and Fragaria virginiana developed in France during seventeenth century. Earlier, the strawberry was a commercial fruit crop of temperate region. However, with the development of day neutral varieties its cultivation is being extended to tropical and subtropical climate region also. Strawberry plant is a low creeping short day perennial herb and a crown arise from basal leaves. Leaves are compound, with three leaflets saw tooth edged and hairy. The flowers are white in colour borne in small clusters, offers quicker returns on capital investment. Fruit is most delicious, nutrious, refreshing, soft fruit crop possess anticancer compound called ellagic acid.

Strawberry has certain seasonal fruiting varieties which produce a single crop in summer with limited vegetative growth occurs during short period. As a result the fruit produced is not of good quality and have a minimum marketable yield (Asrey *et al.*, 2004; Singh *et al.*, 2007) profitable crop production is based entirely on balanced plant nutrition under suitable agroecological conditions.

Presence of unaviability and tenacious fixation of all major nutrients with poor organic matter, makes it impossible for plants to access nutrients (Bibordi et al., 2000). Therefore farmers need to apply extra fertilizers in order to increase yield (FAO, 2015). Foliar application of mineral nutrients has become an inevitable horticultural practice for sustainable crop production world wide (Prajapati and Modi 2012). Foliar application draws attention as a quick, target oriented and ecofriendly, it helps us to gain higher crop productivity under optimal and unfavorable growth conditions (Li et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2019; Thornburg et al., 2020). It can be widely used to diminish nutritional deficiencies in crop plants at critical growth stages. It also helpful to minimize the soil barriers for higher nutrient use efficiency (Dordas, 2009; Hosein-Beigi et al., 2019; Correia et al., 2020). Now-a-days

Mareguddikar et al., Biological Forum – An International Journal 15(1): 07-13(2023)

interest in multi-nutrient foliar feeding in the form of water-soluble fertilizers enhancing at large and effective in correcting deficiencies on time scale. As compared with applications of plant nutrients to soil, foliar fertilization is credited with rapid correction of nutrient deficiencies, greater control over vegetative and fruiting responses because plants never lost their ability to absorb nutrients through their aerial parts. Recently humic acid and water-soluble fertilizers has been practiced commercially to increase production and quality of strawberry crop.

Nitrogen is the most important element which plays a vital role in building blocks of protein molecules, nucleic acids, enzymes, lipids, harmones (Hassegawa et al., 2008). potassium nitrate are complete watersoluble ideal fertilizers which provides all major macronutrients in a balanced ratio to the plants helps in promoting photosynthesis and transport assimilates of carbohydrates to the storage organs, increases vegetative growth and helps in getting higher yield (Ali et al., 2016). Humic acid consist of C, H, O, N and S. regarding structure major functional group of humic acid include carboxylic, phenolic and aliphatic moieties (Pena-mendez et al., 2005). Increases the functional group of availability by the plant for a long time, increase ion capillary capacity (Abbas et al., 2013) and thus improves quality of watermelon (salman et al., 2005), grape (Ferrara and Brunetti 2010) and Strawberry (Hosseini Farahi et al., 2013). Regarding the interaction effects of nitrogen compounds and humic acid on growth and yield of different plants such as peanut (Moraditouchi, 2012), cucumber (Kazemi, 2013) and tomato (Aman and Rab 2013), which confirms the present achievements. Ganjehi and Golchin (2012) indicated that highest shoot fresh and dry weights, number of fruits and yield are obtained using $110 \text{mg} \text{ }^{-1}$ nitrogen. There are many reports about the positive effects of nitrogen, humic acid and their interactions on the chlorophyll content of various plants, including: eggplant (Aminifard et al., 2010), Kinnow mandarin (Abbass et al., 2013) and garlic (Zeinali and Moradi 2015) whose results are consist with the findings of this study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

and Experimental site treatment details. Investigation was carried out on cv. winter dawn in the experimental blocks of the Fruit Science Department, COH Mudigere situated in the western ghats and represents the typically hilly zone (Zone-9 and Region-V) of Karnataka, India. It is located at 13° 25° North latitude and 75° 25° East longitude with an altitude of 982 m above mean sea level. The experiment was conducted in Completely Randomized Design with fourteen treatments laid in three replications. Tissue cultured plants of winter dawn variety of strawberry was planted at a spacing of $30 \text{cm} \times 30 \text{ cm}$ on raised beds with 12 plants per plot viz., 6 plants of 2 rows.

Recommended dose of NPK (150:100:120 kg/ha) was applied through soil and plants were fertilized with different combination and concentration viz., T₁-100 % RDF + Humic acid (2%) foliar application, T₂-100 % RDF + 19:19:19 (1%) foliar application, T₃-100 % RDF + potassium nitrate (1%) foliar application, T_4 -100 % RDF + Humic acid (2%) + 19:19:19 (1%) foliar application, T₅-100 % RDF + Humic acid (2%) + potassium nitrate (1%) foliar application, T_6 -100% RDF + Humic acid (2%) +19:19:19 (1%) + potassium nitrate (1%) foliar application, T₇-75 % RDF + Humic acid (2%) foliar application, T_8 -75% RDF + 19:19:19 (1%) foliar application, T_9 -75 % RDF + potassium nitrate (1%) foliar application, T_{10} -75 % RDF + Humic acid (2%) + 19:19:19 (1%) foliar application, T₁₁-75 % RDF + Humic acid (2%) + potassium nitrate (1%) foliar application, T₁₂-75% RDF + Humic acid (2%) + 19:19:19 (1%) + potassium nitrate (1%) through foliar application, T₁₃-100% RDF through soil, T₁₄-75% RDF through soil at an interval of 45, 60 & 75 days after planting. Humic acid and 19:19:19, Potassium nitrate were chosen for the test, desired concentration of selected fertilizers were prepared fresh and used for the study. Subsequently at 30, 60, 90, 120 definite intervals the data on observations recorded growth parameters. The data obtained was subjected to statistical analysis to draw the meaningful deviations and inferences.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth parameters. In the study maximum plant height (33.03), number of trifoliate leaves per plant (32.32), crowns per plant (5.75) and runners per plant (2.02) was recorded in plots receiving 100% RDF soil application + Humic acid (2%) + 19:19:19 (1%) + potassium nitrate (1%) through foliar application at 120 days after planting followed by (T_{12}) whereas, The minimum plant height of 25.18cm, trifoliate leaves 22.62, crowns 2.18 and runners of 1.25 respectively. was recorded in the treatment T_{14} (75 % RDF soil application).

The analysis of data divulged as significant difference among different treatments for growth parameters. Plant height, number of trifoliate leaves, crowns, runners are the important primary visible growth traits as seen from the data. These growth traits varied with days and also with different treatments. Statistical variation was observed clearly from the beginning till the end of maturity. The differences are more pronounced at peak growth phase and when compared to 75 or 100 per cent RDF through soil application along with different foliar applied treatments responded positively to the above traits. The superior accomplished sustained vegetative growth in terms of above parameters might be due to Humic acid and water soluble fertilizers regulate the growth of strawberry plants by causing cell division and increased cell division and also cause the elongation in mature petiole of strawberry and other nutrients increased the

Mareguddikar et al.,

Biological Forum – An International Journal 15(1): 07-13(2023)

rate of various physiological and metabolic processes such as synthesis of proteins, nucleic acids, coenzymes, secondary metabolism products, enzyme activation, osmotic regulation, respiration and photosynthesis in the plant system.

A comparison of different sources of potassium foliar spray in Rice was made and it was observed that 1.5 per cent K of K_2SO_4 produced high yields of grain, straw yield, the potassium content of plants, number of tillers, agronomic efficiency and potassium recovery compared to KCl and KNO₃ (Ali *et al.*, 2016). These results are in accordance with the findings of Awad *et al.* (2010), Shehata *et al.* (2011), Fathy *et al.* (2010) and Harsha *et al.* (2017) who obtained maximum plant height and number of leaves per plants by foliar application of nutrients as compared to control in strawberry and pumello respectively.

Treatment (T₆) 100 % RDF soil application + Humic acid (2%) + 19:19:19 (1%) + potassium nitrate (1%) through foliar application recorded maximum leaf area (176.86), leaf area index (1.89), chlorophyll-a (1.85), chlorophyll-b (0.59), Total chlorophyll (2.44), dry weight at harvest (30.29), plant spread of north-south and east-west was 46.61 and 44.50 respectively, which is followed by (T_{12}) and minimum data was graded in the treatment T_{14} (75 % RDF soil application) which recorded leaf area(154.84), Leaf area index (1.38), chlorophyll-a(1.36),chlorophyll-b (0.30),Total chlorophyll (1.66), dry weight at harvest (21.21), plant spread of north-south and east-west was 28.38 and 27.80 respectively. Increase in vegetative growth might be due to the integration of chemicals which are applied through foliar application helps in the accomplishment of increased nutrient supply through foliar at critical stages at that time leaves sufficiently absorb the nutrients through their aerial parts for overcoming of hidden hunger. Due to cumulative solubilization of available nutrients and Translocation of assimilates to the developing sink results in higher accumulation of greater amount of carbohydrates in leaf tissues which forces length and weeping growth of leaf petioles which lean outwards resulting in higher plant spread which is automatically helps in stepping out of total chlorophyll, drymatter, and yield. The positive and significant effects of nitrogen and humic acid and their interactions on the amount of nitrogen and protein in the leaves and roots of various products such as: Strawberry (Ameri and Tehranifar 2012), Ginger (Taibo *et al.*, 2007), Melon (Ferrante *et al.*, 2008) and Cucumber (El-Nemr *et al.*, 2012; Kazemi, 2013), have been reported which are consistent with the results of this study. Tai-bo *et al.* (2007) reported that humic acid significantly increases the protein content and protein production of ginger rhizome. Also, the use of humic acid-urea increases the absorption efficiency of soil nitrogen and activity of nitrate reductase as well. Chen and Aviad (1990) argued that the stimulating effects of humic acid are related to the increased absorption of macro-elements. Adani *et al.* (1998) found that humic acid increases the nitrogen uptake.

These results are in line with the findings of Santos and Chandler (2009), who observed an increase in canopy diameter in strawberry with increased nitrogen level and also by the studies of Ramniwas *et al.* (2012) in guava. Similar findings were reported by Eshagi *et al.* (2012); Kazemi (2014); Shahzad *et al.* (2017) in strawberry, Gleelmosa *et al.* (2015) in apple and Harsha *et al.* (2017) in pumello, Kazemi (2013), Awad *et al.* (2010); Eshaghi *et al.* (2012) in strawberry.

The results of the study revealed that significant variation for yield in plants subjected to different treatments. The plants which received 100% RDF soil application + Humic acid (2%) + 19:19:19 (1%) + potassium nitrate (1%) through foliar application recorded maximum fruit yield per plot (4.55 kg) while minimum fruit yield per plot (1.94 kg) was recorded in plots which received 75 per cent RDF through soil application. The combined effect of spraying inorganic fertilizers with humic acid enhanced the nutrient availability capacity in the leaves might have coincided with plant need. These results are in line with findings of Gleelmosa *et al.* (2015) in apple.

CONCLUSION

On the basis of results obtained in the present investigation, it can be concluded that application of 100 % RDF + HA (2%) + 19:19:19 (1%) KNO₃ (1%) through foliar application or else 75 % RDF + HA (2%) + 19:19:19 (1%) + KNO₃ (1%) through foliar application at 45, 60 and 75 days after planting showed promising results with respect to growth and yield parameters.

Table 1: Influence of nutrient management practices with humic acid and water soluble fertilizers on plant height, no. of trifoliate leaves, number of crowns of strawberry.

| | | Plant hei | ght (cm) | | Num | ıber of trifol | iate leaves /] | Number of crowns/ plant | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| Treatments | 30 DAP | 60 DAP | 90 DAP | 120 DAP | 30 DAP | 60 DAP | 90 DAP | 120 DAP | 60 DAP | 90 DAP | 120 DAP |
| T ₁ | 11.52 | 15.65 | 23.87 | 26.65 | 7.56 | 11.89 | 18.16 | 25.76 | 1.06 | 2.14 | 3.16 |
| T_2 | 11.55 | 16.56 | 26.13 | 29.53 | 7.91 | 12.93 | 21.59 | 28.66 | 2.02 | 3.23 | 4.19 |
| T ₃ | 11.63 | 16.20 | 25.11 | 28.51 | 7.46 | 12.22 | 20.47 | 26.45 | 1.66 | 2.85 | 3.73 |
| T_4 | 12.42 | 17.22 | 28.04 | 32.01 | 7.64 | 13.38 | 23.40 | 29.24 | 3.31 | 4.64 | 5.28 |
| T5 | 11.41 | 17.03 | 27.28 | 31.18 | 7.39 | 13.19 | 22.67 | 29.30 | 2.83 | 3.84 | 4.66 |
| T ₆ | 13.54 | 18.54 | 29.86 | 33.03 | 7.97 | 14.79 | 24.92 | 32.32 | 3.92 | 5.31 | 5.75 |
| T ₇ | 11.70 | 15.35 | 23.61 | 26.45 | 7.41 | 11.68 | 17.66 | 24.75 | 0.84 | 1.85 | 2.76 |
| T ₈ | 10.99 | 16.37 | 25.95 | 29.19 | 7.44 | 12.46 | 21.19 | 27.58 | 1.83 | 3.04 | 4.08 |
| T9 | 11.11 | 15.80 | 24.26 | 27.66 | 6.99 | 12.06 | 19.62 | 26.17 | 1.12 | 2.56 | 3.54 |
| T ₁₀ | 10.92 | 17.16 | 27.92 | 31.70 | 7.64 | 13.27 | 23.25 | 29.17 | 3.09 | 4.25 | 4.85 |
| T ₁₁ | 12.19 | 16.67 | 27.01 | 29.91 | 6.89 | 13.06 | 21.82 | 28.82 | 2.35 | 3.36 | 4.42 |
| T ₁₂ | 12.55 | 17.61 | 28.76 | 32.27 | 7.90 | 14.15 | 24.04 | 31.25 | 3.68 | 4.87 | 5.42 |
| T ₁₃ | 11.35 | 13.95 | 22.31 | 25.66 | 7.13 | 11.21 | 15.99 | 23.57 | 0.56 | 1.50 | 2.63 |
| T ₁₄ | 10.91 | 12.24 | 21.33 | 25.18 | 6.98 | 10.90 | 14.93 | 22.62 | 0.27 | 1.25 | 2.18 |
| S Em ± | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.07 |
| C.D.(p=0.05) | 0.59 | 0.53 | 1.06 | 0.68 | 0.62 | 0.56 | 0.64 | 0.48 | 0.10 | 0.42 | 0.21 |

LEGEND

T₁-100 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) foliar application

 T_2 -100 % RDF through soil + 19:19:19 (1 %) foliar application

T₃-100 % RDF through soil + Potassium nitrate (1 %) foliar application

T₄-100 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) + 19:19:19 (1 %) foliar application

T5-100 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) + Potassium nitrate (1 %) foliar application

T₆-100 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) + 19:19:19 (1 %) + Potassium nitrate (1 %) foliar application

T₇-75 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) foliar application

T₈-75 % RDF through soil + 19:19:19 (1 %) foliar application

T₉-75 % RDF through soil + Potassium nitrate (1 %) foliar application T_{10} -75 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) + 19:19:19 (1 %) foliar application

 T_{11} -75 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) + Potassium nitrate (1 %) foliar application T_{12} -75 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) + 19:19:19 (1 %) + Potassium nitrate (1 %) foliar application

T13-100 % RDF through soil application $T_{14}\mbox{-}75$ % RDF through soil application

Table 2: Influence of nutrient management practices with humic acid and water soluble fertilizers on leaf area, leaf area index, plant dry weight at harvest, chlorophyll content of strawberry.

| Treatments | Leaf area(cm ²) | | | | Leaf area index | | | | Chlorophyll content of leaves (mg/g of fresh weight) | | | Plant dry weight at harvest (g/plant) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|--------|------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|------------|---|------|----------------------|---|
| | 30 DAP | 60 DAP | 90 DAP | 120 DAP | 30 DAP | 60 DAP | 90 DAP | 120 DAP | C-a | C-b | Total chlorophyll | |
| T_1 | 84.62 | 123.14 | 149.07 | 167.23 | 0.54 | 1.08 | 1.40 | 1.53 | 1.52 | 0.35 | 1.88 | 23.79 |
| T_2 | 86.06 | 124.22 | 152.48 | 169.53 | 0.63 | 1.16 | 1.54 | 1.69 | 1.67 | 0.47 | 2.14 | 26.75 |
| T ₃ | 85.07 | 123.64 | 150.49 | 168.39 | 0.59 | 1.13 | 1.40 | 1.61 | 1.57 | 0.42 | 1.98 | 25.65 |
| T_4 | 85.39 | 126.01 | 155.38 | 175.91 | 0.77 | 1.28 | 1.70 | 1.82 | 1.80 | 0.56 | 2.36 | 29.60 |
| T ₅ | 86.01 | 125.30 | 153.56 | 171.09 | 0.70 | 1.23 | 1.61 | 1.76 | 1.73 | 0.52 | 2.25 | 27.85 |
| T ₆ | 86.99 | 126.92 | 155.76 | 176.86 | 0.85 | 1.36 | 1.75 | 1.89 | 1.85 | 0.59 | 2.44 | 30.29 |
| T ₇ | 82.44 | 122.91 | 147.35 | 166.37 | 0.52 | 1.06 | 1.52 | 1.49 | 1.47 | 0.34 | 1.80 | 22.90 |
| T ₈ | 85.39 | 123.83 | 151.40 | 168.78 | 0.60 | 1.14 | 1.46 | 1.6 | 1.64 | 0.45 | 2.08 | 26.34 |
| Т, | 84.43 | 123.48 | 149.39 | 167.76 | 0.58 | 1.11 | 1.36 | 1.57 | 1.55 | 0.37 | 1.92 | 25.20 |
| T ₁₀ | 84.76 | 125.50 | 155.19 | 173.81 | 0.72 | 1.25 | 1.66 | 1.78 | 1.75 | 0.54 | 2.29 | 29.48 |
| T ₁₁ | 83.07 | 124.66 | 152.85 | 170.88 | 0.65 | 1.19 | 1.58 | 1.73 | 1.71 | 0.49 | 2.20 | 27.42 |
| T ₁₂ | 85.79 | 126.48 | 155.58 | 176.22 | 0.83 | 1.33 | 1.73 | 1.85 | 1.82 | 0.58 | 2.41 | 29.97 |
| T ₁₃ | 81.82 | 122.48 | 140.23 | 158.79 | 0.49 | 1.03 | 1.34 | 1.43 | 1.45 | 0.32 | 1.77 | 22.10 |
| T ₁₄ | 81.29 | 121.44 | 136.18 | 154.84 | 0.42 | 1.01 | 1.29 | 1.38 | 1.36 | 0.30 | 1.66 | 21.21 |
| SEm ± | 0.45 | 0.32 | 0.41 | 0.43 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.10 |
| C.D.(p=0.05) | 1.31 | 0.94 | 1.19 | 1.24 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.30 |

LEGEND

T1-100 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) foliar application

T2-100 % RDF through soil + 19:19:19 (1 %) foliar application

T₃-100 % RDF through soil + Potassium nitrate (1 %) foliar application

T₄-100 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) + 19:19:19 (1 %) foliar application

T₅-100 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) + Potassium nitrate (1 %) foliar application

T₆-100 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) + 19:19:19 (1 %) + Potassium nitrate (1 %) foliar application

T₇-75 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) foliar application T₈-75 % RDF through soil + 19:19:19 (1 %) foliar application

T₉-75 % RDF through soil + Potassium nitrate (1 %) foliar application T_{10} -75 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) + 19:19:19 (1 %) foliar application

 T_{11} -75 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) + Potassium nitrate (1 %) foliar application T_{12} -75 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) + 19:19:19 (1 %) + Potassium nitrate (1 %) foliar application

T₁₃-100 % RDF through soil application

T14-75 % RDF through soil application

| Mareguddikar et al., | Biological Forum – An International Journal | 15(1): 07-13(2 | :023) |
|----------------------|---|----------------|-------|
|----------------------|---|----------------|-------|

Table 3: Influence of nutrient management practices with humic acid and water soluble fertilizers on plant spread and number of runners of strawberry.

| Treatments | 30 DAP | | 60 DAP | | 90 D | AP | 120 |) DAP | Number of |
|-----------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|------------|---------------|
| | North- | East- | North- | East- | North- | East- | North- | Fast- west | runners/plant |
| | south | west | south | west | south | west | south | East- west | |
| T1 | 12.29 | 11.24 | 21.38 | 22.35 | 24.33 | 27.26 | 34.51 | 33.58 | 1.38 |
| T_2 | 12.73 | 11.92 | 22.88 | 22.35 | 27.47 | 26.16 | 39.91 | 37.84 | 1.47 |
| T ₃ | 12.56 | 11.40 | 21.77 | 21.22 | 26.48 | 24.08 | 37.51 | 35.66 | 1.42 |
| T_4 | 13.25 | 12.51 | 24.13 | 23.42 | 29.52 | 28.63 | 44.66 | 42.45 | 1.88 |
| T ₅ | 12.89 | 11.57 | 23.38 | 23.10 | 28.30 | 27.95 | 41.19 | 40.22 | 1.61 |
| T ₆ | 14.90 | 14.29 | 25.56 | 24.82 | 30.50 | 29.75 | 46.61 | 44.50 | 2.02 |
| T ₇ | 12.20 | 11.18 | 21.12 | 21.03 | 27.21 | 23.37 | 32.31 | 31.11 | 1.34 |
| T ₈ | 12.69 | 11.69 | 22.23 | 22.22 | 25.21 | 26.60 | 38.58 | 36.29 | 1.44 |
| T9 | 12.44 | 11.27 | 21.51 | 19.84 | 23.55 | 24.41 | 35.63 | 34.56 | 1.41 |
| T ₁₀ | 13.00 | 12.39 | 23.37 | 23.17 | 29.04 | 28.23 | 43.52 | 41.57 | 1.65 |
| T ₁₁ | 12.85 | 11.52 | 23.32 | 23.03 | 28.18 | 27.82 | 40.84 | 38.62 | 1.58 |
| T ₁₂ | 13.73 | 13.24 | 24.79 | 24.23 | 29.74 | 29.10 | 45.59 | 43.38 | 1.95 |
| T ₁₃ | 11.88 | 10.29 | 20.62 | 20.15 | 22.22 | 22.04 | 30.12 | 29.66 | 1.28 |
| T ₁₄ | 11.47 | 9.84 | 19.84 | 19.18 | 20.86 | 19.86 | 28.38 | 27.80 | 1.25 |
| S.Em ± | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.02 |
| C. D. (P = 0.05) | 0.77 | 0.76 | 0.74 | 0.46 | 0.67 | 0.38 | 0.59 | 0.80 | 0.06 |

LEGEND

T1-100 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) foliar application

T₂-100 % RDF through soil + 19:19:19 (1 %) foliar application

T₃-100 % RDF through soil + Potassium nitrate (1 %) foliar application

T₄-100 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) + 19:19:19 (1 %) foliar application

T₅-100 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) + Potassium nitrate (1 %) foliar application

T₆-100 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) + 19:19:19 (1 %) + Potassium nitrate (1 %) foliar application

 $T_7\,\text{-}75$ % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) foliar application

 T_8 -75 % RDF through soil + 19:19:19 (1 %) foliar application

T₉-75 % RDF through soil + Potassium nitrate (1 %) foliar application

 T_{10} -75 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) + 19:19:19 (1 %) foliar application

 T_{11} -75 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) + Potassium nitrate (1 %) foliar application

 T_{12} -75 % RDF through soil + Humic acid (2 %) + 19:19:19 (1 %) + Potassium nitrate (1 %) foliar application

 T_{13} -100 % RDF through soil application

T₁₄-75 % RDF through soil application

FUTURE SCOPE

Under increasing climate change, environmental stress such as duration of heat and drought which offers decreasing agricultural productivity and quality in multiple ways. These unpredicted challenges urge the adoption however, the consequences of foliar spray under multiple environmental stress remains elusive, albeit, evidence to resillence agriculture has grown widely.

Acknowledgement. I find it a herculean task to search the names of all those people from the bottom cores of my memory and very grateful for the financial support of this research.

Conflict of Interest. None.

REFERENCES

- Abbas, T. S., Ahmad, M., Ashraf, M., Adnan Shahid, M., Yasin, R., Mukhar Balal, M. A., Pervez. and Abbas, S. (2013). Effect of of humic and application at different growth stages of kinnow mandarin (*Citrus reticulate blanco*) on the basis of physic-biochemical and reproductive responses. Academia journal of biotechnology, 1, 14-20.
- Adani, F., Genevini, P., Zaccheo, P. and Zocchi, G. (1998). The effect of commercial humic acid on tomato plant growth and mineral nutrition. *Journal of plant nutrition*, 21(3), 561-575.
- Ali, A., Hussain, M., Habib, H. S., Kiani, T. T., Anees, M. A. and Rahman, M. A. (2016). Foliar spray surpasses soil application of potassium for maize production under

rainfed conditions. Turkish Journal of Field Crops, 21(1), 36–43.

- Ali, A., Salim, M., Zia, M. S., Mahmood, I. A. and Shahzad, A. (2005). Performance of Rice as affected by foliar application of different K fertilizer sources. *Pakistan Journal of Agriculture Sciences*, 42(1-2).
- Aman, S. and Rab, A. (2013). Response of tomato to nitrogen levels with or without humic acid. *Journal of Agriculture*, 29, 181-186.
- Ameri, A. and Tehranifar, A. (2012). Effect of humic acid on nutrient uptake and physiological characteristic Fragaria ananassa var. Camarosa. Journal of Biological Environmental Sciences, 16, 77-79.
- Aminifard, M. H., Aroiee, H., Fatemi, H., Ameri, A. and Karimpour, S. (2010). Responses of eggplant (Solanum melongina L.) to different rates of nitrogen under field conditions. Journal of central Europe Agriculture, 14, 453-458.
- Asrey, R., Jain, R. K. and Singh, R. (2004). Effect of preharvest chemical treatment on the shelf life of 'chandler' strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa*) Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 74(9), 196-198.
- Awad, EI. M. M., Mohamad, R. A. and Asfour, H. E. L. (2010). Effect of compost, foliar spraying with potassium and boron on growth, yield and fruit quality of strawberry. *Journal of plant production Mansoura University*, 1(8), 1101-1112.
- Bibordi, M., Malakoti, M. J., Amirmakhri, H. and Nafisi, M. (2000). Production and optimum consumption of chemical fertilizer in sustainable agriculture. *Agriculture Education press, Tehran*, 1-282.

Mareguddikar et al.,

Biological Forum – An International Journal 15(1): 07-13(2023)

- Chaudhary, M., Singh, M., Chanel, V. S., Roy, A. and Dongaliyal, A. (2018). Effect of foliar feeding of nutrients on growth and yield of aonla (*Emblica* officinalis) cv. Chakaiya. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 7(1), 2648-2653.
- Chen, Y. and Aviad, T. (1990). Effects of humic substances on plant growth, 161-186.
- Correia, S., Queiros, F., Ferreira, H., Morais, M. C., Afonso, S., Silva, A. P. and Goncalves, B. (2020). Foliar Application of Calcium and Growth Regulators Modulate Sweet Cherry (*Prunus avium* L.) Tree Performance. *Plants*, 9(4), 410.
- Dordas, C. (2009). Foliar application of calcium and magnesium improves growth, yield and essential oil yield of oregano (*Origanum vulgare* ssp. hirtum). *Industrial Crops and Products*, 29(2-3), 599–608.
- Dutta, P. (2004). Foliar potassium spray in improving the quality of Sardar guava. Orissa Journal of Horticulture, 32(1), 103-104.
- El-Nemr, M. A., El-Desuki, M., El-Bassiony, A. M. and Fawy, Z. F. (2012). Response of growth and yield of cucumber plants (*Cucumis sativus* L.) to different foliar applications of humic acid and bio-stimulants. *Australia Journal of Basic Applied Sciences*, 6, 630-637.
- Eshaghi, S. and Garazhian, M. (2012). Improving growth, yield and fruit quality of strawberry by foliar and soil drench applications of humic acid. *Iran Agricultural Research*, *34*(1), 14-20.
- Farahi, M. H., Aboutalebi, A., Eshghi, S., Dastyaran, M. and Yosefi, F. (2013). Foliar application of humic acid on quantitative and qualitative characteristics of 'aromas' strawberry in soilless culture. *Agric communications*, 1(1), 13-16.
- Fathy, M. A., Gabar, M. A., E. L. and Shall, S. A. (2010). Effect of humic acid treatments on 'canino' apricot growth, yield and fruit quality. *New York Journal Sciences*, 3(2), 109-115.
- Ferrante, A., Spinardi, A., Maggiore, T., Testoni, A. and Gallina, P. M. (2008). Effect of nitrogen fertilization levels on melon fruit quality at the harvest time and during storage. *Journal of Agriculture Food Science*, 88(4), 707-713.
- Ferrara, G. and Brunetti, G. (2010). Effects of the times of application of a soil humic acid on berry quality of table grape (*Vitis venifera* L.) cv. Italia. *Span Journal* of Agriculture Research, 8(3), 817-822.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2015). FAOSTAT agricultural statistics database.
- Ganesh, K., Arora, R. L., Bal Krishna and Sanjeev Kumar (2002). Effect of polyfeed and multi-K on yield and quality of peach, plum and litchi. *Programme Horticulture*, *34*(1), 44-48.
- Ganjehi, B. and Golchin, A. (2012). The effect of different levels of N, K and Mg on yield and growth indices of strawberry in hydrophonic culture. *Journal of European Agriculture*, 2, 71-81.
- Gawande, S. N., Kale, A. P., Shaikh, J. A. and Sharma, R. C. (2018). Study on nutrient package for pomegranate (*Punica granatum L.*). Indian Journal of Agriculture Research, 52(2), 199-202.
- Gill, P. P. S. and Bal, J. S. (2009). Effect of growth regulator and nutrients spray on control of fruit drop, fruit size

and quality of ber under the submontane zone of Punjab. *Journal of Horticulture Sciences*, 4(2), 161-163.

- Gleelmosa, W. F., Megeed, N A. and Paszt, L. S. (2015). Effect of foliar application of potassium, calcium, boron and humic acid on vegetative growth, fruit set, leaf mineral, yield and fruit quality of 'Anna' Apple trees. American Journal of Experimental Agriculture, 8(4), 224-234.
- Harsha, H. L., Venkat Rao, Dayamani, K. J. and Shivanna, M. (2017). Effect of growth regulators and macronutrients on seedling growth of pumello (*C. Maxima merill*). *International Journal of Recent Sciences Research*, 8(10), 20531-20533.
- Hassegawa, R. H., Fonseca, H., Fan celli, A. L., Dasilva, V. N., Schammass, E. A., Reis, T. A. and Correa, B. (2008). Influence of macro-micro nutrient fertilization on fungal contamination and fumonis in production in corn grains. *Food Control*, 19, 36-43.
- Hosein-Beigi, M., Zarei, A., Rostaminia, M. and Erfani-Moghadam, J. (2019). Positive effects of foliar application of Ca, B and GA3 on the qualitative and quantitative traits of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) cv. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 254, 40–44.
- Hosseini Farahi, M., Aboutalebi, A., Eshaghi, S., Dastyaran, M. and Yosefi, F. (2013). Foliar application of humic acid on quantitative and qualitative characteristics of Aromas strawberry in soilless culture. Agriculture communications, 1, 13-16.
- Kaur, A. P., Singh, H. and Jawandha, S. K. (2012). Effect of pre-harvest application on nutrients and growth regulator on fruit quality of sub tropical peach. *Asian Journal of Horticulture*, 7(2), 565-568.
- Kazemi, M. (2013). Effect of foliar application of humic acid and potassium nitrate on cucumber growth. Bull. Environmental Pharmacol. Life sciences, 11, 03-06.
- Kazemi, M. (2013). Influence of foliar application of 5sulfosalicylic acid, malic acid, putrescine and potassium nitrate on vegetative growth characteristics of strawberry cv. Selva. *Journal of Biological Environmental Sciences*, 7(20), 93-101.
- Kazemi, M. (2014). Impact of foliar humic acid sprays on vegetative growth and reproductive biology of strawberry. *Thailand Journal of Agriculture Sciences*, 47(4), 221-225.
- Kumar, J., Rehalia, A. S., Rana, S. S. and Chandel, J. S. (2002). Effect of pre and post-bloom sprays of urea and boric acid on growth, fruit set, yield and fruit quality of apple cv. Starking Delicious. *Programme Horticulture*, 34(1), 22-26.
- Li, L., Lyu, C., Huang, L., Chen, Q., Zhuo, W., Wang, X., ... & Lu, L. (2019). Physiology and proteomic analysis reveals root, stem and leaf responses to potassium deficiency stress in alligator weed. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 1-13.
- Mahmoudi, Samavat, S., Mostafavi, M., Khalighi, A., Cherati, A. (2013). Effect of proline and humic acid on quantitative properties of kiwi fruit. *International Journal of Applied research and Basic Sciences*, 6(8), 1117-1119.
- Moraditochaee, M. (2012). Effects of humic acid foliar spraying and nitrogen fertilizer management on yield of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) in Iran. *Journal of Agricultural Biological Sciences*, 7, 289-293.

Mareguddikar et al.,

Biological Forum – An International Journal 15(1): 07-13(2023)

- Ngullie, C. R., Tank, R. V. And Bhanderi, D. R. (2014). Effect of salicylic acid and humic acid on flowering, fruiting and yield of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv. kesar. Advanced Research. Journal of crop improvement, 5(2), 136-139.
- Pena-Mendez, M., Havel, J. and Patocka, J. (2005). Humic substances compounds of still unknown structure: applications in agriculture, industry, environment, and biomedicine. *Journal of Applied Biomedical*, *3*, 13-24.
- Prajapati, K. and Modi, H. A. (2012). The importance of potassium in Plant Growth–A Review. *Indian Journal* of Plant Sciences, 1(2-3), 177–186.
- Ramniwas, R., Kaushik, A., Sarolia, D. K., Pareek, S. and Singh, V. (2012). Effect of irrigation and fertigation scheduling on growth and yield of guava (*Psidium* guajava L.) under meadow orcharding. African Journal of Agriculture Research, 7(47), 6350-6356.
- Salman, S. R., Abou- Hussein, S. D., Abdel-Mawgoud, A. M. R. and El-Nemr, M. A. (2005). Fruit yield and quality of water melon as affected by hybrids and humic acid application. *Journal of Applied Science Research*, 1, 51-58.
- Santos, B. M. and Chandler, C. K. (2009). Influence of nitrogen fertilization rates on the performance of strawberry cultivars. *International Journal of Fruit Sciences*, 9, 126-135.
- Shahzad, U., Rashhed, S., Yasir, T. A., Jahan, S. M., Hassan, Z., Wasaya, A. and Baloch, W. A. (2017). Effect of growth media and foliar application of macro nutrients on agronomic performance of strawberry. *Pure Applied Biology*, 6(4), 1457-1463.
- Shehata, S. A., Gharib, A. A., Mohamed, M. El-mogy, Abdel Gawad, K. F. and Emad, A. S. (2011). Influence of compost, amino and humic acid on the growth yield and chemical parameters of strawberries. *Journal of Medicinal Plant Research*, 5(11), 2304-2308.
- Singh, N. P., Malhi, C. S. and Sharma, R. C. (2005). Effect of foliar feeding of N, P and K on vegetative and fruiting

characters of mango cv. Dushehri. *International Conference on Mango and Date Palm: culture and export.* 27-31.

- Singh, R., Sharma, R. R. and Tyagi, S. K. (2007). Pre-harvest foliar application of calcium and boron influences physiological disorders, fruit yield and quality of strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananasa Duch.*) *Scientia Horticulture*, *112*(2), 215-220.
- Sudha, R., Balamohan, T. N. and Soorianathasundaram, K. (2012). Effect of foliar spray of nitrogenous chemicals on flowering, fruit set, and yield in mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv. Alfanso. *Journal of Horticulture Sciences*, 7(2), 190-193.
- Tai-bo, C., Zhen-lin, W., Lan-ian, L., Ru-Juan, W., Xiaoguang, C., Xiao-dong, Z. and Chun-yu, S. (2007). Effects of humic acid urea on yield and nitrogen absorption, assimilation and quality of ginger. *Journal* of plant nutrition fertilizer, 13, 903.
- Thornburg, T. E., Liu, J., Li, Q., Xue, H., Wang, G., Li, L., Fontana, J. E., Davis, K.E., Liu, W., Zhang, B., Zhang, Z., Liu, M. and Pan, X. (2020). Potassium Deficiency Significantly affected Plant Growth and Development as well as microRNA-mediated Mechanism in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Frontiers in Plant Science, 11(1219).
- Zeinali, A. and Moradi, P. (2015). Effects of humic acid and ammonium sulphate foliar spraying and their interaction effects on the qualitative and quantitative yield of native garlic (*Allium sativum L.*) Journal of Applied Environmental Biological Sciences, 4, 205-211.
- Zhu, B., Xu, Q., Zou, Y., Ma, S., Zhang, X., Xie, X., & Wang, L. (2020). Effect of potassium deficiency on growth, antioxidants, ionome and metabolism in rapeseed under drought stress. *Plant Growth Regulation*, 90(3), 455-466.

How to cite this article: Sampada Mareguddikar, Manjunath Rathod, Priyanka R. and Madaiah D. (2023). Performance of Strawberry (*Fragaria* \times *ananassa* Duch.) as influenced by Humic Acid and Water Soluble Fertilizers on Vegetative Parameters under Naturally Ventilated Polyhouse. *Biological Forum* – *An International Journal*, *15*(1): 07-13.